U.S. Ct. App. Re. Case No. 05-1048, 05-1049, 05-1050, Appellant, Head (V) As Related of Court Reserreds Attention, U.S. D. Ct. Judge, Tauro, of Boston massachusetts and Each Hovernment Attornet. False Imprisonment On Kidnaping Etc. Kiolation of The Right To Appeal Counsel must discurs the right to appeal with his eliebt and must file a timely notice of agreal on his clients behalf, Failure to file a notice of appeal on behalf of his client, absent the clients explicit instruction not to do so, violates ethical obligations and obligations imposed on counsel appointed to cases pursuant to the ouminal fustice Ast. of coursel is unable To regresent the claimt in the court of appeals, after filing the notice of appeal, coursel many request leave to withdraw and assignment of a new allowney. Failure of counsel to take simple steps of feling notice of affeat per his clients request that he do so, constituted ineffective assistence of count and a deprivation of the right to appeal and other rights violated thereby also. Alouser ~ United States, S. D. Cowa 1970, 318 F. Supp 175, United States v Smith, C.A. & (Mich. 1967) 387, F. 2d. 268. and Strickland V Washington 1984, Failure by an attorney to perfect an appeal where the client has indisated a desire to appeal, Sincer V United States, 571 F, 2d, 876, 879-80, 5th cir 1978. Strickland V Washington 1984.

Appellant, Head, told his court appointed attorney, Mr. Willam A. Brown, prior to the 18 U.S.C. 4245(d) hearing Nov. 17, 2003 U.S. D. Ct, Boston, Ma, That if he was committed, he wanted to appear some and wanted Mr. Brown to fill notice of agreal and appeal same on the went not by Head's choice of coursel To represent him Head is an indigent person Mr, Brown Told Head that he would file notice of appeal and appeal the committe ment, if Head was committed. Mr. Brown did not do what he said he would do, as aforsaid. Not did he file a fetition 28 U.S.C. 2241 for Head, nos a motion 18 U.S.C. 4245(e), 4247(4) That at the aportaid hearing, Mr. Trown presented no avidence nor witnesses on Head's behalf and did not allow Head to testify in his own behalf, nos allowed Head to talk to Mr. Brown Durning nos after the hearing See, 18 U.S.C. 412 45 and 4247 as to the aforsaid. Head Tried To get Mr. Brown Removed and Replaced and the Judge would not do it nos allowed a Hibrid Defense. Head tried to get Mr Brown to withdraw al from his case, several times and Mri Brown Did Not Do Sof Why Etc. To Aforsaid Signed Hoseph Marion Head funion (4-21-05)

Case 1:05-mc-10281-RGS Document 15 Filed 04/22/2005 Page 3 of 6
Signed: Joseph Marion Head Junear Highlemat

4 - 2/-05 W.S. Ct, App, 1St. Cir, Re. Appellant-foregh Marion Hear funion-Case Nos. 05-1048, 05-1049 and 05-1050; Appellers failure to move for a copy of all records etc relating to the apoisaid cases besause appellant failed to or could not serveappellaes with a copy of the resords ite entitles relief to be ordered in The appellants favor, Fed. R. 57Civ Proc. 28 U.S.C. 2201, 2202, Ets. Laws & 2., Appellees did not make motion eta to find out why appellant did not serve them with a copy or if he did and same was lost in mail Appellees Looked Only For Away To Exscape fustise In Appellants Favor Which Was Entitled Etc. and did not pursue and aford Judinal protection for appellant as to the matters presented and complained of by appellant, Thereby futher violating appellants rights sto and laws, constitution and Human Rights, Same did the Court by not appointing appellant a team of attorners to represent him. Additional Relief Demander By Hear, Each and all Vallys In and of American Turisdiction Be Ordered Personal and Divit Property of Appellant Forever.

## U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Rights Division

168-36-0/230814

Special Litigation Section - PHB 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530

April 12, 2005

Joseph Head, Jr. #17549-056/ N-5 Cell 527 Federal Medical Center-Devens P.O. Box 879 Ayer, MA 01432

Dear Mr. Head, Jr.:

Thank you for your correspondence. Under the Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1997, the Special Litigation Section of the United States Department of Justice has authority to investigate complaints concerning conditions in state or locally-operated institutions. These institutions include, but are not limited to prisons, jails, mental retardation/developmental disability facilities, juvenile facilities, mental health, and publicly-operated nursing homes. When a systemic "pattern or practice" of the deprivation of constitutional or statutory rights is determined to exist, we have the authority to initiate civil action in the name of the United States against state or local officials to remedy such conditions.

The Section's authority does not extend to investigating the personal grievances of a single individual. Moreover, the Section is not authorized to represent individual citizens in legal matters or to give them legal advice. Therefore, we are unable to provide you with legal opinions or assistance with personal lawsuits or legal matters. However, if you wish to pursue this matter further, you might consider contacting a private attorney or a legal services lawyer. This individual may assist you in determining what, if any, remedies may be available to you.

We will consider your letter carefully along with other information we may receive to determine whether a pattern or practice investigation is warranted. If you have additional details that you believe may be relevant to our review, we would appreciate it if you would forward that information to us.

We hope this information is useful. For additional information, you may want to review our website:

http://www.usdoj.gov/crt/split/index.html

Sincerely,

Brian Lee

Special Litigation Section Civil Rights Division

U.S. D. Ct, Judge, Taww, In Boston Massachusett. Rei U.S. A. (VS) Hear-17549-056 Respondent Coursel must discuss the right to appeal with his client and must file a timely notice of appeal on behalf of the client. Failure to file a notice of appeal on behalf of the client absent the clients explicit instruction not to do so, violates ethical obligations and obligations imposed on course appointed to the cases pursuant to the criminal fustice Act, of course is unable to represent the client in the Court of Appeals, after filing the notice of appeal, counsel may request leave to withdraw and assignment of a new attorney, Failure of downsel to take simple steps of filing a notice of appeal per his clients request that he de so, constituted ineffective assistance of counsel and a deprivation of the right to affine and other rights also thereby, Allowser V United States, S. D. Jowa 1970, 318 F. Supp. 175, United States VS Smith, C.A. b (Mich 1967) 387, F. 2d. 268. Strickland v Washington 1984. Failure by an attorney to perfect an appeal where the client has indicated a desire to appeal. Sinsox v3 United States, 571 F. 2d. ,876, 879-80 (5th w. 1978) Strickland VS Washington 1984. Head prior to the Hearing Nov, 17, 2003 in the Federal District Court in Boston Massachusetto, Told his court appointed attorney Mr. Brown

, that if the court committed him, he want

ed to appeal same and wanted mr. Brown to file notice of appeal for him and represent the appeal, Mr. Brown told Head that he would file the notice of appeal and represent the appeal if Head was committed. Head also had made several request and demands for Mr. Brown to withdrawal as court appointed courses to Head's case, and Mr. Brown would not and did not do so, Head in open court moved The court to remove Mr. Brown from his court appointment to Heads case and the fudge would not do so Why! Not would the Judge order a Hibira defense; of Head's case. That at the Hearing, Mr. Brown presented no evidence nor witnesses on behalf of Head and did not allow Head to testify in his own behalf. Nos would Mr. Brown allow Head to talk to him durning not after the hearing. Why? was not in court at the Hearings and why? Mri Brown did not file a setition 28 U.S.C. 2241 on behalf of Hear, Why Not? not did Mr Brown file a motion 18 W.S.C. 4245 (e), 4247 (h) on behalf of Head Why Not? Nor did Mr Brown Hive Head the records etc so he could process prose, etc "

3

Relief Demanded Pro Se By Head.

1- Court appoint Head Counsel to fully and effectively regresent Head.

2- Disbor Mr. Brown as an attorney.

3-U.S. A. seek viiminal chaages against all parties involves in the Committee ment of Head.

4- Order Head's lawful court release from custody, etc.

5-Order Head legally paid a Lillion Dollors per each day committed and per each day in custody from 1973 forward.

6- Arant and Order all other relief Head prior demanded in State, Federal courts, tost and V. A. claims, in the past 31 years.

7- Order such other relief the law allows, that Head will except himself prose

Rigned, Joseph Marion Head Junior Reg. No. 7549-056, 4-22-and 21-05

Document 15-2 Case 1:05-mc-10281-RGS Filed 04/22/2005 Page 4 of 5 950-6456119 Mid. Center Devero This Box 879 Courthouse Way Suite 2300 The Meligar Fran tt, 02210

